1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 8 JAMES EMANUEL DUDLEY III, Plaintiff, CASE NO. 3:22-cv-05437-RSM-BAT 9 ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S v. 10 MOTION TO COMPEL (DKT 23). PIERCE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, et 11 al., 12 Defendant. 13 Plaintiff moves to compel discovery. The Court's Pretrial Order, Dkt. 18, orders that if a 14 discovery dispute arises, a party must fulfill the Court's meet and confer requirements before 15 filing a motion to compel discovery. The moving party must certify he or she has in good faith 16 effort met and conferred with opposing counsel. A motion to compel that lacks such a 17 certification will be summarily denied. Plaintiff's motion lacks any certification he has met and 18 conferred with opposing counsel of record and is **DENIED** without prejudice. 19 DATED this 28th day of October, 2022. 20 21 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA 22 United States Magistrate Judge 23

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL (DKT 23). - 1